# **A**ssignment **1**

**Yu-Chieh Kuo B07611039**†

†Department of Information Management, National Taiwan University

### **Problem 1**

Let *T*(*i*) be the sum of the *i*-th row of the Pascal triangle, we have *T*(*i*) =  $2^{i-1}$ . The proof by induction is shown as below.

In the base case, when  $i = 1$ , the sum of the first row is 1, which is equal to  $2^{1-1}$ . Assume the sum of row *n* is  $2^{n-1}$ , then the element of row  $n + 1$  are each formed by adding two elements of row *n*, and each element of row *n* contributes to forming two elements of row *n* + 1. Thus, the sum of the *n* + 1 row is  $2 \cdot 2^{n-1} = 2^n$  as acquired. By induction, we find the expression for the sum of the *i*-th row of the Pascal triangle.

### **Problem 2**

In the base case, when  $n = 0$ ,  $H(2^0) = H(1) = 1 \ge 1$ . Assume that  $H(2^n) \ge 1 + \frac{2}{n}$  $\frac{2}{n}$  is true, when the case  $n + 1$ , we have

$$
H(2^{n+1}) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^n} + \frac{1}{2^n + 1} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}
$$
  
=  $H(2^n) + \frac{1}{2^n + 1} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$   
 $\geq (1 + \frac{n}{2}) + \frac{1}{2^n + 1} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$   
 $\geq (1 + \frac{n}{2}) + 2^n \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$   
 $\geq (1 + \frac{n}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}$   
 $\geq 1 + \frac{n+1}{2}.$ 

Since the case  $n + 1$  still satisfies the inequality, therefore, we prove the Harmonic series inequality by induction.

### **Problem 3**

Let  $P(n)$  be the proposition to carry out the proof.  $P(n)$  is true if positive integer *n* can be written as a sum of distinct numbers from this series and be false if not.

When  $1 \le n \le 10$ ,  $P(n)$  is true evidently. Assume  $n = k > 10$  can be written as a sum of distinct numbers from this series, when  $n = k + 1$ , let  $a_i$  be the largest number in series and

be less than  $k + 1$  simultaneously, that means  $a_i < k + 1$ . Also, notice that  $a_i > k + 1 - a_i$  since if  $a_i \leq k+1-a_i$ , it implies  $2a_i = a_{i+1} \leq k+1$  *i.e.*  $a_{i+1}$  the largest number in series and be less than  $k + 1$  instead of  $a_i$ , contradicting to the assumption. Besides,  $k > k + 1 - a_i$  implies  $P(k + 1 - a_i)$ is true, which means  $k + 1 - a_i$  can be written as a sum of distinct numbers from this series  $a_{x_1} + a_{x_2} + ... + a_{x_j}$ , and  $a_i \notin \{a_{x_b} | b = 1, 2, \dots \}$ . Therefore,  $P(k + 1)$  is true.

By induction, we prove that any positive integer can be written as a sum of distinct numbers from this series.

## **Problem 4**

When  $n = 1$ ,  $F(1) = 1$  and  $G(1) = 1 + 1 = 2$ , the assumption of  $G(n) = F(n) - 1$  is trivially incorrect since *G*(1) = *F*(1) − 1. However, the question statement lacks of definition of *G*(1) and *G*(2). Suppose we define *G*(1) = *G*(2) = 0 to imply *G*(3) = 1 and so on, the assumption is still dissatisfied.

Therefore, the critical problem of this proof is the incorrect assumption.

# **Problem 5**

### **5.(a)**

Given  $Max(\perp) = 0$ , the function *Max* is defined as below

$$
Max(node(k, t_l, t_r)) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } k \ge Max(t_l), Max(t_r) \\ Max(t_l) & \text{if } Max(t_l) > k, Max(t_r) \\ Max(t_r) & \text{if } Max(t_r) > k, Max(t_l). \end{cases}
$$

### **5.(b)**

After requiring  $Max(\perp) = -1$ , the definition of *Max* is modified as

$$
Max(node(k, t_l, t_r)) = \begin{cases}\n-1 & \text{if node}(k, t_l, t_r) = \bot \\
k & \text{if } k \ge Max(t_l), Max(t_r) \\
Max(t_l) & \text{if } Max(t_l) > k, Max(t_r) \\
Max(t_r) & \text{if } Max(t_r) > k, Max(t_l).\n\end{cases}
$$