

WEEK 3: Nov. 17, 2022

Note Writer: Yu-Chieh Kuo[†]

[†]Department of Information Management, National Taiwan University

Recap

Asymptotic Theorems

We have a sequence of *i.i.d.* observations z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n , which are vectors of $k \times 1$, and the expectation of z_i is $\mathbb{E}[z_i] \equiv \mu$. Thus, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \xrightarrow{p} \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbb{E}[(z_i - \mu)(z_i - \mu)'])$$

Least Squares

Given the criterion / objective function $Q_n(\beta)$ and parameters of interest β ($k \times 1$ vector), for any β , we have the convergence result

$$Q_n(\beta) \xrightarrow{p} Q_\infty(\beta) \quad \text{or} \quad \text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(\beta) = Q_\infty(\beta)$$

As usual, given the data

$$\begin{array}{ll} y_1, & y_2, \dots, y_n \quad \text{dependent variables} \\ 1 \times 1 & \\ x_1, & x_2, \dots, x_n \quad \text{independent variables,} \\ k \times 1 & \end{array}$$

we define

$$Y \equiv \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad X \equiv \begin{pmatrix} x'_1 \\ x'_2 \\ \vdots \\ x'_n \end{pmatrix},$$

where Y and X are $n \times 1$ and $n \times k$ matrices, respectively.

For example, in a linear least squares case, we have

$$Q_n(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - x'_i \beta)^2 \xrightarrow{p} Q_\infty(\beta) = \mathbb{E}[y_i - x'_i \beta]^2,$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\beta} &\equiv \arg \min_{\beta} Q_n(\beta) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x'_i \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i \right) \\ &\xrightarrow{p} \beta_\infty \equiv \arg \min_{\beta} Q_\infty(\beta) = \left(\mathbb{E}[x_i x'_i] \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbb{E}[x_i y_i] \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here we define $e_i \equiv y_i - x_i\beta_\infty$, which leads to $\mathbb{E}[x_i e_i] = 0$. Next, after substituting $y_i = x_i'\beta_\infty + e_i$ into $\hat{\beta}$, we obtain

$$\hat{\beta} - \beta_\infty = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i \right).$$

Some books call this **sampling errors** after multiply \sqrt{n} .

Statistical properties

Theorem.

$$\mathbb{E}[\hat{\beta} - \beta_\infty | X] = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \mathbb{E}[e_i | X] = 0$$

We call this **unbiasedness**. □

Remark. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[e_i | x_i] = 0 &\implies \mathbb{E}[e_i x_i] = 0 \\ \text{(Stronger)} &\not\Leftarrow \text{(Weaker)}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Moreover, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[(\hat{\beta} - \beta_\infty)(\hat{\beta} - \beta_\infty)' | X] = \sigma^2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} = \sigma^2 (X'X)^{-1} \quad \text{if} \quad \mathbb{E}[e_i^2 | X] = 0.$$

Another property is **consistency**. Clearly, $\hat{\beta} - \beta_\infty \xrightarrow{p} 0$.

Additionally, we derive the asymptotic covariance matrix as below.

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_\infty)(\hat{\beta} - \beta_\infty)' &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i \sum_{j=1}^n x_j' e_j \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' e_i^2 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^n x_i x_j' e_i e_j \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i x_i' \right)^{-1} \\ &\xrightarrow{p} \left(\mathbb{E}[x_i x_i'] \right)^{-1} \mathbb{E}[x_i x_i' e_i^2] \left(\mathbb{E}[x_i x_i'] \right)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 \left(\mathbb{E}[x_i x_i'] \right)^{-1} \quad \text{if} \quad \mathbb{E}[x_i x_i' e_i^2] = \mathbb{E}[x_i x_i'] \sigma^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have the distribution

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_\infty) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N} \left(0, \left(\mathbb{E}[x_i x_i'] \right)^{-1} \mathbb{E}[x_i x_i' e_i^2] \left(\mathbb{E}[x_i x_i'] \right)^{-1} \right).$$

- There is no assumption about what is the **true** model. We just show that the minimize of the **finite sample criterion function** $Q_n(\beta)$ converges to the minimizer of the **asymptotic criterion function** $Q_\infty(\beta)$ (as $\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{p} \beta_\infty$).
- There is **no endogeneity problem** if we define consistency in this way.
- However, this is one of the key differences between statistics and econometrics. In econometrics, we start with a **true** model of a **structural model**. For example, given $y_i = x_i'\beta + e_i$ but $\mathbb{E}[x_i e_i] \neq 0$, we need to find instruments to solve the problem, so that the estimation is consistent in the sense of converging to the **true parameters**.

- Note that we may have a system of equations (or called structural form model)

$$Y = AY + BX + E,$$

where $Y, X,$ and E are $m \times 1$, A and B are $m \times m$. Here we denote θ by the parameters of interest, and the matrix entries are functions of θ . To elaborate, we can denote A by $A(\theta)$ and B by $B(\theta)$. Therefore, the reduced form becomes

$$Y = (I_m - A(\theta))^{-1}B(\theta)X + (I_m - A(\theta))^{-1}E.$$

Nonlinear case

Given $f(X; \beta)$ as a nonlinear function, we have

$$Q_n(\beta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(x_i; \beta))^2 \xrightarrow{p} Q_\infty(\beta) = \mathbb{E}[y_i - f(x_i; \beta)]^2$$

The FOC yeilds a $k \times 1$ matrix

$$\frac{\partial Q_n(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = \frac{-2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f(x_i; \beta)) \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \beta} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\partial Q_\infty(\beta)}{\partial \beta} = -2 \mathbb{E}[y_i - f_i] \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \beta},$$

(note that the FOC goes to 0 when $\beta = \beta_\infty$) and the second derivative ($k \times k$) is

$$\frac{\partial^2 Q_n(\beta)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \beta'} - \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - f_i) \frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} \xrightarrow{p} \frac{\partial^2 Q_\infty \beta}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} = 2 \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \beta'} \right] - 2 \mathbb{E}[y_i - f_i] \frac{\partial^2 f_i}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'}.$$

Hence, the minimizer $\hat{\beta}$ of $Q_n(\beta)$ is defined by

$$\frac{\partial Q_n(\hat{\beta})}{\partial \beta} = \frac{-2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{f}_i) \frac{\partial \hat{f}_i}{\partial \beta} = 0.$$

We here use computers to find the minimizer such as the Newton method.

A natural question we need to care is when we will have $\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{p} \beta_\infty$ to allow the asymptotic derivation. (Reference: Ch7, Hayashi)

- If $Q_n(\beta) \xrightarrow{p} Q_\infty(\beta)$ uniformly, the set of candidate parameters θ is compact. Therefore, $\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{p} \beta_\infty$.
- If $Q_n(\beta) \xrightarrow{p} Q_\infty(\beta)$, and $Q_n(\beta)$ is a convex and continuous function, then $\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{p} \beta_\infty$.

Asymptotic Distribution

We apply the **mean value theorem** to derive the asymptotic distribution.

$$\frac{\partial Q_n(\hat{\beta})}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\partial Q_n(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\partial^2 Q_n(\beta_m)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} (\hat{\beta} - \beta),$$

where $\beta_m \in [\hat{\beta}, \beta_\infty]$. Since $\hat{\beta} \xrightarrow{p} \beta_\infty$, therefore, it gives $\beta_m \xrightarrow{p} \beta_\infty$. Moreover, re-writting and re-scaling the NLS problem as an asymptotic form gives

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_\infty) = \left(\frac{\partial^2 Q_n(\beta_m)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} \right)^{-1} \left(-\sqrt{n} \frac{\partial Q_n(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta} \right).$$

Now, we assume that

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\partial Q_n(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N} \left(0, \text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(n \frac{\partial Q_n(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial Q_n(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta'} \right) \right),$$

if such the assumption is correct, then the distribution of $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_\infty)$ asymptotes to

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_\infty) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N} \left(0, \left(\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial^2 Q_n(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} \right)^{-1} \left(\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \frac{\partial Q_n(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial Q_n(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta'} \right) \left(\text{plim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\partial^2 Q_n(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} \right)^{-1} \right).$$

Nonlinear case

For the nonlinear case, we have

$$\frac{\partial Q_n(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta} = \frac{-2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta},$$

where $e_i \equiv y_i - f(x_i; \beta)$.

Cancelling -2 for convenience and **assuming the assumption is satisfied**, we obtain

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta} e_i \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N} \left(0, \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta'} e_i^2 \right] \right).$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial^2 Q_n(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} \xrightarrow{p} 2 \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta'} \right] + 0,$$

and

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_\infty) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N} (0, Cov),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Cov &= \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta'} \right] \right)^{-1} \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta'} \right] e_i^2 \right) \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta'} \right] \right)^{-1} \\ &= \sigma^2 \left(\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta'} \right] \right)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

if we assume that $\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta} \frac{\partial f_i(\beta_\infty)}{\partial \beta'} \right] = \sigma^2$.

Honho: Trust me, all 2 and -2 will be canceled since there are inverse in the distribution, just algebra operation.

Prediction Errors and Model Selection

Assume the **true** model is $y_i = f(x_i; \beta_0) + e_i$, where we denote β_0 by **true parameters** for convenience. Applying 2nd-order Taylor approximations, we have

$$Q_n(\hat{\beta}) = Q_n(\beta_0) + \frac{\partial Q_n(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta'} (\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) + \frac{1}{2} (\hat{\beta} - \beta_0)' \frac{\partial^2 Q_n(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} (\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) + \text{higher order terms}.$$

Be careful that $Q_n(\cdot)$ is 1×1 , $\frac{\partial Q_n(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta'}$ is $1 \times k$, and $(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0)$ is $k \times k$.

Moreover, the out-sample case is in the similar form:

$$Q_n^{out}(\hat{\beta}) = Q_n^{out}(\beta_0) + \frac{\partial Q_n^{out}(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta'}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) + \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0)' \frac{\partial^2 Q_n^{out}(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) + \text{higher order terms.}$$

By FOC (**why??????**), therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{\partial Q_n(\hat{\beta})}{\partial \beta} = \frac{\partial Q_n(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta} + \frac{\partial^2 Q_n(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'}(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) + \dots \\ \Leftrightarrow (\hat{\beta} - \beta_0) &= \left(\frac{\partial^2 Q_n(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'} \right)^{-1} \left(- \frac{\partial Q_n(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $(\hat{\beta} - \beta_0)$ into the original 2nd-order Taylor approximation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n(\hat{\beta}) &= Q_n(\beta_0) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial Q_n(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta} \right)' \frac{\partial^2 Q_n(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'}^{-1} \frac{\partial Q_n(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta} \\ Q_n^{out}(\hat{\beta}) &= Q_n^{out}(\beta_0) - \left(\frac{\partial Q_n^{out}(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta} \right)' \frac{\partial^2 Q_n^{out}(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta \partial \beta'}^{-1} \frac{\partial Q_n^{out}(\beta_0)}{\partial \beta} + \frac{1}{2} (pQ_0)' (ppQ_0)^{-1} (ppQ_0) (ppQ)^{-1} (pQ). \end{aligned}$$

Notice a trick that taking expectation w.r.t. X to all terms above is available, therefore, it alters